



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,734 07/28/2003		Jon A. Wolff	Mirus.013.03.6	5547		
25032	7590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER		
MIRUS CO	RPORA	TION	WOITACH, JOSEPH T			
505 SOUTH MADISON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Manager, we seems				1632		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/628,734		WOLFF ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Joseph T. V	Voitach	1632					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication app	ears on the (	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N LISIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum si- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 6(a). In no even ill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
						•				
,										
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the	application.				•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
• —	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	election requ	irement.						
Applicati	on Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examinei	r.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the I	Examiner.					
,,	Applicant may not request that any obje	· ·	-							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Ex	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>									
	•				ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internation		•	* **	1					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list (	of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (			Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	·O-152)				
· —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)		5)	ателт Аррисатіоп (РТ	O-132)				

Art Unit: 1632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application filed July 28, 2003, is a CIP of 09/447,966, filed 11/23/1999, now US PAT 6,627,616.

Claims 1-38 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-34, drawn to a process for delivering a protein or pepetide to a muscle to enhance blood flow, comprising administering a naked polynucleotide to a blood vessel, increasing the pressure in and delivering the nucleic acid to the muscle, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 36-38, drawn to a process for delivering a nucleic acid to a muscle to enhance blood flow, comprising administering a naked polynucleotide to a blood vessel, increasing the pressure in and delivering the nucleic acid to the muscle, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

Claims 1 and 35 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 35. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the

Art Unit: 1632

allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions require the administration of different materials that have different properties. While both encompass nucleic acids, one requires expression of a protein while another relies on the inhibitory property of the nucleic acid.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search of one groups would not be the same nor commensurate in scope with that of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: group I comprise the use of species of different angiognenic

Art Unit: 1632

factors (see claims 5 - 7 for example), and both groups I and II comprise species of different muscle tissues (compare claims 12 and 19 for example).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims encompassing the use of any factor in a muscle type are generic to both inventions.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

Joe World